## Message

From: Beck, Whitney [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=95A55FA866614CF99239EF6C3509B71E-BECK, WHITN]

**Sent**: 9/29/2020 8:33:00 PM

To: Wesson, Dolores [Wesson.Dolores@epa.gov]
CC: McDavit, Michael W. [Mcdavit.Michael@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: I need your eyes on this ICR draft 8 please, standing by for your feed-back

Attachments: ICR G v8 mike edits\_WB.docx

## Hi Dolores,

Please see attached ICR edits, on top of Mike's edits. I'm in on Friday and very free if you want to talk then- maybe Thursday as well. I didn't really critique the \$\$ estimates but know they are ever-changing. You're doing a great job with a tough document.

I tried to make the ESA and NHPA language a lot more generic, just talking about documentation prepared by EPA vs. the state/tribe to support consultations rather than specific document types (PA, OA, BE, etc.). I did give the document types as examples of what COULD be generated and also mentioned letters that were part of the consultations. See what you think.

Best, Whitney

From: Wesson, Dolores < Wesson. Dolores@epa.gov>

**Sent:** Tuesday, September 29, 2020 9:26 AM **To:** Beck, Whitney <beck.whitney@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: I need your eyes on this ICR draft 8 please, standing by for your feed-back

Thanks. I was not sure if I should use the new rule language, as this is the status quo renewal, and the status quo is after FL, so I used the FL language from the FRN, but it is a bit strange.... And I don't fully understand it. Take your time, it is hard, tricky...

From: Beck, Whitney < beck.whitney@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, September 28, 2020 8:14 PM
To: Wesson, Dolores < Wesson, Dolores@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: I need your eyes on this ICR draft 8 please, standing by for your feed-back

Hi there!

I spent about 2 hours on the ICR today but still need more time, unfortunately. I made it halfway through. I'm trying to incorporate Mike's most recent feedback about voluntary information. I also had the ESA and NHPA preamble open to pull some language.

This stuff is so grey sometimes. Anyway, thanks for your patience and I have not forgotten about this!

Best, Whitney

From: Wesson, Dolores < Wesson. Dolores@epa.gov > Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2020 10:08 AM

To: McDavit, Michael W. < Mcdavit. Michael@epa.gov>; Beck, Whitney < beck. whitney@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: I need your eyes on this ICR draft 8 please, standing by for your feed-back

Thank you. Standing by.

From: McDavit, Michael W. < Mcdavit. Michael@epa.gov >

Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2020 7:55 AM

**To:** Beck, Whitney < beck.whitney@epa.gov>; Wesson, Dolores < Wesson.Dolores@epa.gov> **Subject:** RE: I need your eyes on this ICR draft 8 please, standing by for your feed-back

Good morning Whit,

Can you see that Dolores has the latest write ups for the rule (or Fla) on ESA and NHPA.

I think we have to simplify the ICR, but I want to make sure we parrot the language as much as useful and necessary.

I'll cc you when I send my edits back to Dolores this morning, I hope.

Mike

From: Beck, Whitney < beck.whitney@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2020 4:20 PM

To: Wesson, Dolores <a href="mailto:wesson.Dolores@epa.gov">wesson, Dolores@epa.gov</a>; McDavit, Michael W. <a href="mailto:wesson.Dolores@epa.gov">wesson, Dolores@epa.gov</a>>; McDavit, Michael W. <a href="mailto:wesson.Dolores@epa.gov">wesson.Dolores@epa.gov</a>>; McDavit, Michael W. <a href="mailto:wesson.Dolores@epa.gov">wesson.Dolores@epa.gov</a>>

Subject: RE: I need your eyes on this ICR draft 8 please, standing by for your feed-back

Thanks Dolores! I'm trucking through the EA today and will hopefully be able to read this tomorrow.

Best, Whitney

From: Wesson, Dolores < Wesson. Dolores@epa.gov > Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2020 10:52 AM

To: McDavit, Michael W. < Mcdavit. Michael@epa.gov>; Beck, Whitney < beck. whitney@epa.gov>

Subject: I need your eyes on this ICR draft 8 please, standing by for your feed-back

## Mike and Whitney,

Before I finalize the draft ICR I need you to read it over for me for the Florida "experience" and how that changes the burden and the costs. Please read to page 18, I am having most problems with the agency burden section, as the process here is changing the most and I am not sure if this is what we are doing. STOP reading at the end of the table on page 18, as I have to recalculate the rest accordingly. I am tired of redoing all the numbers and I am sure I am missing something.... I will redo that part as soon as you correct or validate the rest. I honestly can't tinker much more on my own as I am unsure about much of the new stuff.

I have added in blue the sections that relate to ESA and NHPA, please focus on them. What am I missing? Did I understand the process? Are those numbers ok?

Kathy wanted the modifications to be in the draft; they are in red, but there is no burden or costs associated, so don't worry about that too much.

D

Maria Dolores Wesson
Office of Water
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Phone: (202) 566-2755

1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20460 wesson.dolores@epa.gov